

**Logic databases**

1. Let  $p(x)$ ,  $m(x)$ ,  $f(x)$ ,  $h(x, n)$  represent ‘ $x$  is a person’, ‘ $x$  is male’, ‘ $x$  is female’, ‘the home telephone number of  $x$  is  $n$ ’.

Consider databases  $\text{Th}(D_i)$  for the following examples:

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \{p(a), p(b), m(a)\} \\ D_2 &= \{p(a), p(b), \forall x (p(x) \rightarrow (m(x) \vee f(x)))\} \\ D_3 &= \{p(a), p(b), m(a), m(b)\} \\ D_4 &= \{\} \end{aligned}$$

and integrity constraint I1: ‘every person is either male or female’.

First, decide which of the databases  $\text{Th}(D_i)$  intuitively satisfy the integrity constraint I1. Then try the three definitions of integrity constraint satisfaction (consistency, entailment/theoremhood, metalevel/epistemic) and see what you get for each.

Repeat the above for the databases  $\text{Th}(D_i)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} D_5 &= \{p(a), p(b), h(a, 123)\} \\ D_6 &= \{p(a), p(b), \forall x (p(x) \rightarrow \exists n h(x, n))\} \\ D_7 &= \{p(a), p(b), \forall x (p(x) \rightarrow h(x, 456))\} \end{aligned}$$

and integrity constraint I2: ‘every person has a home telephone number’.

Finally, check again but this time on the databases

$$cwa_{\mathcal{P}}(D_i) = \text{Th}(D_i \cup \{\neg\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}, \alpha \notin \text{Th}(D_i)\})$$

where  $\mathcal{P} = \{p(a), p(b), m(a), m(b), f(a), f(b)\}$  for databases  $D_1$ – $D_4$ , and  $\mathcal{P} = \{p(a), p(b), h(a, 123), h(a, 456), h(b, 123), h(b, 456)\}$  for  $D_5$ – $D_7$ .

2. (More demanding, but instructive.) Check the claim about relative strengths of integrity constraint satisfaction summarised in the lecture notes.

- (i) First check that any  $\text{Cn}$  (with  $A \subseteq \text{Cn}(A)$ ) satisfies (for all  $A, X, Y$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{If } X \vdash_{PL} Y \text{ then } A \vdash X \text{ implies } A \vdash Y \\ &Y \subseteq \text{Th}(X) \Rightarrow (X \subseteq \text{Cn}(A) \Rightarrow Y \subseteq \text{Cn}(A)) \end{aligned}$$

iff  $\text{Cn}$  is ‘closed under truth-functional consequence’:  $\text{Th}(\text{Cn}(A)) \subseteq \text{Cn}(A)$ .

(The latter is a very reasonable property.)

- (ii) Now check that, for all  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , if  $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \in \text{Cn}(D)$  then  $\alpha \in \text{Cn}(D)$  implies  $\beta \in \text{Cn}(D)$ , and further, that as long as  $\text{Cn}(D)$  is consistent, if  $\alpha \in \text{Cn}(D)$  implies  $\beta \in \text{Cn}(D)$  then  $\neg(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \notin \text{Cn}(D)$ .
- (iii) Now the other direction, if  $\text{Cn}(D)$  is *complete* then, for all  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ :  $\neg(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \notin \text{Cn}(D)$  implies if  $\alpha \in \text{Cn}(D)$  then  $\beta \in \text{Cn}(D)$ , and further, if  $\alpha \in \text{Cn}(D)$  implies  $\beta \in \text{Cn}(D)$  then  $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \in \text{Cn}(D)$ .

How do these observations apply to the databases of Question 1?