

Fixpoint semantics for definite programs*SOLUTIONS***Question 1**

- (a) Let
- $IDB = \{p \leftarrow q, r; q \leftarrow s\}$
- and
- $EDB = \{r, s\}$
- .

Clearly $Cl_{IDB}(EDB) = \{p, q, r, s\}$.Compute $Cl_{IDB}(EDB) = T'_{IDB} \uparrow^\omega(EDB)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
T'_{IDB} \uparrow^0(EDB) &= EDB = \{r, s\} \\
T'_{IDB} \uparrow^1(EDB) &= T'_{IDB}(\{r, s\}) = \{q\} \cup \{r, s\} \\
T'_{IDB} \uparrow^2(EDB) &= T'_{IDB}(\{q, r, s\}) = \{p, q\} \cup \{q, r, s\} \\
T'_{IDB} \uparrow^3(EDB) &= T'_{IDB}(\{p, q, r, s\}) = \{p, q\} \cup \{p, q, r, s\} \\
&\vdots \\
T'_{IDB} \uparrow^\omega(EDB) &= \{r, s\} \cup \{q, r, s\} \cup \{p, q, r, s\}
\end{aligned}$$

Compare $T_{IDB} \uparrow^\omega(EDB)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{IDB} \uparrow^0(EDB) &= EDB = \{r, s\} \\
T_{IDB} \uparrow^1(EDB) &= T_{IDB}(\{r, s\}) = \{q\} \\
T_{IDB} \uparrow^2(EDB) &= T_{IDB}(\{q\}) = \emptyset \\
T_{IDB} \uparrow^3(EDB) &= T_{IDB}(\emptyset) = \emptyset \\
&\vdots \\
T_{IDB} \uparrow^\omega(EDB) &= \{r, s\} \cup \{q\} = \{q, r, s\}
\end{aligned}$$

- (b) Let
- $IDB = \{p \leftarrow q, r; q \leftarrow s; r\}$
- and
- $EDB = \{s\}$
- .

Clearly $Cl_{IDB}(EDB) = \{p, q, r, s\}$.Compute $Cl_{IDB}(EDB) = T'_{IDB} \uparrow^\omega(EDB)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
T'_{IDB} \uparrow^0(EDB) &= EDB = \{s\} \\
T'_{IDB} \uparrow^1(EDB) &= T'_{IDB}(\{s\}) = \{q, r\} \cup \{s\} \\
T'_{IDB} \uparrow^2(EDB) &= T'_{IDB}(\{q, r, s\}) = \{p, q, r\} \cup \{q, r, s\} \\
T'_{IDB} \uparrow^3(EDB) &= T'_{IDB}(\{p, q, r, s\}) = \{p, q, r\} \cup \{p, q, r, s\} \\
&\vdots \\
T'_{IDB} \uparrow^\omega(EDB) &= \{s\} \cup \{q, r, s\} \cup \{p, q, r, s\}
\end{aligned}$$

Compare $T_{IDB} \uparrow^\omega(EDB)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{IDB} \uparrow^0(EDB) &= EDB = \{s\} \\
T_{IDB} \uparrow^1(EDB) &= T_{IDB}(\{s\}) = \{q, r\} \\
T_{IDB} \uparrow^2(EDB) &= T_{IDB}(\{q, r\}) = \{p, r\} \\
T_{IDB} \uparrow^3(EDB) &= T_{IDB}(\{p, r\}) = \{r\} \\
&\vdots \\
T_{IDB} \uparrow^\omega(EDB) &= \{s\} \cup \{q, r\} \cup \{p, r\} \cup \{r\} = \{p, q, r, s\}
\end{aligned}$$

So here $T_{IDB} \uparrow^\omega(EDB)$ happens to come out the same as $Cl_{IDB}(EDB)$. Part (a) was intended to show that this is not guaranteed.**Question 2**Part(a): Base case ($n = 0$): $T_P \uparrow^0(X) = X$ and $T_P \uparrow^0(X) = X$.Inductive hypothesis: suppose $T_P \uparrow^k(X) \subseteq T_P' \uparrow^k(X)$.By monotony of T_P :

$$T_P(T_P \uparrow^k(X)) \subseteq T_P(T_P' \uparrow^k(X))$$

Since $T_P(I) \subseteq T_P(I) \cup I$:

$$T_P(T_P \uparrow^k(X)) \subseteq T_P'(T_P' \uparrow^k(X))$$

And so:

$$T_P \uparrow^{k+1}(X) \subseteq T_P' \uparrow^{k+1}(X)$$

That completes the proof.

Further: $T_P \uparrow^\omega(X) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} T_P \uparrow^n(X) \subseteq \bigcup_{n \geq 0} T_P' \uparrow^n(X) = T_P' \uparrow^\omega(X)$.Part (b): to show $T_P \uparrow^n(\emptyset) \subseteq T_P \uparrow^{n+1}(\emptyset)$.Base case ($n = 0$): $T_P \uparrow^0(\emptyset) = \emptyset$, and $\emptyset \subseteq T_P \uparrow^1(\emptyset)$.Inductive hypothesis: suppose $T_P \uparrow^k(\emptyset) \subseteq T_P \uparrow^{k+1}(\emptyset)$.By monotony of T_P :

$$\begin{aligned}
T_P(T_P \uparrow^k(\emptyset)) &\subseteq T_P(T_P \uparrow^{k+1}(\emptyset)) \\
T_P \uparrow^{k+1}(\emptyset) &\subseteq T_P \uparrow^{(k+1)+1}(\emptyset)
\end{aligned}$$

That completes the proof.

And hence, to show: $T'_P \uparrow^n(\emptyset) = T_P \uparrow^n(\emptyset)$.

Base case ($n = 0$): $T'_P \uparrow^0(\emptyset) = \emptyset = T_P \uparrow^0(\emptyset)$.

Inductive hypothesis: suppose $T'_P \uparrow^k(\emptyset) = T_P \uparrow^k(\emptyset)$.

$$\begin{aligned} T'_P \uparrow^{k+1}(\emptyset) &= T'_P(T'_P \uparrow^k(\emptyset)) \\ &= T'_P(T_P \uparrow^k(\emptyset)) \quad (\text{inductive hypothesis}) \\ &= T_P(T_P \uparrow^k(\emptyset)) \cup T_P \uparrow^k(\emptyset) \\ &= T_P \uparrow^{k+1}(\emptyset) \cup T_P \uparrow^k(\emptyset) \\ &= T_P \uparrow^{k+1}(\emptyset) \quad (\text{because } T_P \uparrow^k(\emptyset) \subseteq T_P \uparrow^{k+1}(\emptyset)) \end{aligned}$$

That completes the proof.

Further: $T_P \uparrow^\omega(\emptyset) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} T_P \uparrow^n(\emptyset) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} T'_P \uparrow^n(\emptyset) = T'_P \uparrow^\omega(\emptyset)$.

Question 3 A set X of atoms is a Herbrand model of $IDB \cup EDB$

$$\text{iff } T_{IDB \cup EDB}(X) \subseteq X \quad (1)$$

$$\text{iff } T_{IDB}(X) \cup EDB \subseteq X \quad (2)$$

$$\text{iff } T_{IDB}(X) \subseteq X \text{ and } EDB \subseteq X \quad (3)$$

So the least set of atoms satisfying (1) is also the least set of atoms satisfying (3), which is $Cl_{IDB}(EDB)$ by definition.

You can also do this by checking that $T'_{IDB \cup EDB} \uparrow^n(\emptyset) = T'_{IDB} \uparrow^n(EDB)$.

Question 4 Cl_{IDB} is a classical consequence operator:

- $X \subseteq Cl_{IDB}(X)$ by definition of Cl_{IDB} .
- To show $Cl_{IDB}(Cl_{IDB}(X)) \subseteq Cl_{IDB}(X)$:
 - (i) $Cl_{IDB}(X) \subseteq Cl_{IDB}(X)$ (obviously)
 - (ii) $Cl_{IDB}(X)$ is closed under the rules IDB (by definition).

But the smallest set of atoms satisfying (i) and (ii) is by definition the closure of $Cl_{IDB}(X)$ under the rules IDB , i.e. $Cl_{IDB}(Cl_{IDB}(X))$. So $Cl_{IDB}(Cl_{IDB}(X)) \subseteq Cl_{IDB}(X)$.

- Suppose $X_1 \subseteq X_2$. Then $X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq Cl_{IDB}(X_2)$. So:
 - (i) $X_1 \subseteq Cl_{IDB}(X_2)$
 - (ii) $Cl_{IDB}(X_2)$ is closed under the rules IDB (by definition).

But the smallest set of atoms satisfying (i) and (ii) is by definition $Cl_{IDB}(X_1)$. So $Cl_{IDB}(X_1) \subseteq Cl_{IDB}(X_2)$.

Notice that the third property (monotony of Cl_{IDB}) does not depend on monotony of T_{IDB} . But if IDB are not definite, then T_{IDB} is not necessarily monotonic, and then there is no guarantee that Cl_{IDB} exists.

Question 5 Since $\{r\} \subseteq Cl_{IDB}(\{r\})$ there are four candidates for $Cl_{IDB}(\{r\})$, if it exists:

- $Cl_{IDB}(\{r\}) = \{r\}$?

No: $\{r\}$ is not closed under rules IDB : $T_{IDB}(\{r\}) = \{p, q\} \not\subseteq \{r\}$.

- $Cl_{IDB}(\{r\}) = \{p, r\}$ or $Cl_{IDB}(\{r\}) = \{q, r\}$?

No: not unique. $\{p, r\}$ is closed under rules IDB : $T_{IDB}(\{p, r\}) = \{p\} \subseteq \{p, r\}$. Consider the subsets of $\{p, r\}$: $\{r\}$ is not closed under rules IDB (see above), and $\{p\}$ and $\emptyset$ do not contain $\{r\}$.

However: the same holds for $\{q, r\}$, by the same argument.

So $\{p, r\}$ is not the *unique* smallest set X such that $\dots$, and nor is $\{q, r\}$.

- $Cl_{IDB}(\{r\}) = \{p, q, r\}$?

No: not minimal. $\{p, q, r\}$ is closed under rules IDB : $T_{IDB}(\{p, q, r\}) = \emptyset \subseteq \{p, q, r\}$. But $\{p, q, r\}$ is not the smallest such set containing $\{r\}$: the subset $\{p, r\}$ is also closed under IDB , for example (above).