

# Program Analysis (70020)

## Control Flow Analysis

Herbert Wiklicky

Department of Computing  
Imperial College London

`herbert@doc.ic.ac.uk`

`h.wiklicky@imperial.ac.uk`

Autumn 2024

## Control Flow Analysis

- ▶ Flow information is essential for the specification of Data Flow Analyses. In the case of the Monotone Framework, flow information is represented by the flow function  $F$ .

## Control Flow Analysis

- ▶ Flow information is essential for the specification of Data Flow Analyses. In the case of the Monotone Framework, flow information is represented by the flow function  $F$ .
- ▶ WHILE language: flow information can be extracted directly from the program text. Procedure calls are performed by explicitly mentioning the name of a procedure.

## Control Flow Analysis

- ▶ Flow information is essential for the specification of Data Flow Analyses. In the case of the Monotone Framework, flow information is represented by the flow function  $F$ .
- ▶ WHILE language: flow information can be extracted directly from the program text. Procedure calls are performed by explicitly mentioning the name of a procedure.
- ▶ Not so trivial for more general languages e.g imperative languages with procedures as parameters, functional languages or object-oriented languages.

# Control Flow Analysis

- ▶ Flow information is essential for the specification of Data Flow Analyses. In the case of the Monotone Framework, flow information is represented by the flow function  $F$ .
- ▶ WHILE language: flow information can be extracted directly from the program text. Procedure calls are performed by explicitly mentioning the name of a procedure.
- ▶ Not so trivial for more general languages e.g imperative languages with procedures as parameters, functional languages or object-oriented languages.
- ▶ A special analysis is required: **Control Flow Analysis**

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in \mathbf{Term}$      $\lambda$ -terms  
 $x \in \mathbf{Var}$     variables

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in \text{Term}$     $\lambda$ -terms  
 $x \in \text{Var}$    variables

$N ::=$

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in \text{Term}$     $\lambda$ -terms  
 $x \in \text{Var}$    variables

$N ::= x$

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in \mathbf{Term}$     $\lambda$ -terms  
 $x \in \mathbf{Var}$    variables

$N ::= x \mid (\lambda x. N)$

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in \text{Term}$     $\lambda$ -terms  
 $x \in \text{Var}$    variables

$N ::= x | (\lambda x. N) | (N_1 N_2)$

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in \text{Term}$     $\lambda\text{-terms}$   
 $x \in \text{Var}$     $\text{variables}$

$N ::= x \mid (\lambda x. N) \mid (N_1 N_2)$

Substitution:  $(\lambda x. M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in \mathbf{Term}$      $\lambda\text{-terms}$   
 $x \in \mathbf{Var}$      $\text{variables}$

$N ::= x | (\lambda x. N) | (N_1 N_2)$

Substitution:  $(\lambda x. M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$

$$(\lambda x. x)$$

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in \text{Term}$      $\lambda\text{-terms}$   
 $x \in \text{Var}$      $\text{variables}$

$N ::= x | (\lambda x. N) | (N_1 N_2)$

Substitution:  $(\lambda x. M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$

$$(\lambda x. x)z$$

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in \text{Term}$      $\lambda\text{-terms}$   
 $x \in \text{Var}$      $\text{variables}$

$N ::= x | (\lambda x. N) | (N_1 N_2)$

Substitution:  $(\lambda x. M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$

$(\lambda x. x)z \longrightarrow_{\beta} z$

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in \mathbf{Term}$      $\lambda\text{-terms}$   
 $x \in \mathbf{Var}$      $\text{variables}$

$$N ::= x \mid (\lambda x. N) \mid (N_1 N_2)$$

Substitution:  $(\lambda x. M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda x. x)z &\longrightarrow_{\beta} z \\(\lambda x. x)(\lambda y. y)\end{aligned}$$

# The $\lambda$ -Calculus

$N \in \text{Term}$      $\lambda\text{-terms}$   
 $x \in \text{Var}$      $\text{variables}$

$$N ::= x \mid (\lambda x. N) \mid (N_1 N_2)$$

Substitution:  $(\lambda x. M)N \longrightarrow_{\beta} M[x/N]$

$$(\lambda x. x)z \longrightarrow_{\beta} z$$

$$(\lambda x. x)(\lambda y. y) \longrightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda y. y)$$

## Syntax of Fun

$e \in \text{Exp}$     **expressions** (or labelled terms)  
 $t \in \text{Term}$     **terms** (or unlabelled expressions)

## Syntax of Fun

$e \in \text{Exp}$     **expressions** (or labelled terms)  
 $t \in \text{Term}$     **terms** (or unlabelled expressions)

$e ::= t^{\ell}$

$t ::= c \mid x \mid \text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0 \mid e_1 \ e_2$   
           $\mid \text{if } e_0 \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \mid e_1 \ op \ e_2$   
           $\mid \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2$

## Syntax of Fun

$e \in \text{Exp}$     expressions (or labelled terms)  
 $t \in \text{Term}$     terms (or unlabelled expressions)

$e ::= t^{\ell}$

$t ::= c \mid x \mid \text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0 \mid e_1 \ e_2$   
     $\mid \text{if } e_0 \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \mid e_1 \ op \ e_2$   
     $\mid \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2$

$((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1)^2 (\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3)^4)^5$

## An Example

```
let  f = fn x => x 1;  
     g = fn y => y + 2;  
     h = fn z => z + 3  
in   (f g) + (f h)
```

## An Example

```
let  f = fn x => x 1;  
     g = fn y => y + 2;  
     h = fn z => z + 3  
in   (f g) + (f h)
```

$(f g) + (f h)$

## An Example

```
let  f = fn x => x 1;  
     g = fn y => y + 2;  
     h = fn z => z + 3  
in   (f g) + (f h)
```

$$(f g) + (f h) \longrightarrow ((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x 1) g) + ((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x 1) h)$$

## An Example

```
let  f = fn x => x 1;  
     g = fn y => y + 2;  
     h = fn z => z + 3  
in   (f g) + (f h)
```

$$\begin{aligned}(f g) + (f h) &\longrightarrow ((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x 1) g) + ((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x 1) h) \\ &\longrightarrow (g 1) + (h 1)\end{aligned}$$

## An Example

```
let  f = fn x => x 1;  
     g = fn y => y + 2;  
     h = fn z => z + 3  
in   (f g) + (f h)
```

$$\begin{aligned}(f g) + (f h) &\longrightarrow ((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x 1) g) + ((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x 1) h) \\&\longrightarrow (g 1) + (h 1) \\&\longrightarrow ((\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y + 2) 1) + (\text{fn } z \Rightarrow z + 3) 1\end{aligned}$$

## An Example

```
let  f = fn x => x 1;  
     g = fn y => y + 2;  
     h = fn z => z + 3  
in  (f g) + (f h)
```

$$\begin{aligned}(f g) + (f h) &\longrightarrow ((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x 1) g) + ((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x 1) h) \\&\longrightarrow (g 1) + (h 1) \\&\longrightarrow ((\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y + 2) 1) + (\text{fn } z \Rightarrow z + 3) 1) \\&\longrightarrow (1 + 2) + (1 + 3)\end{aligned}$$

## An Example

```
let  f = fn x => x 1;  
     g = fn y => y + 2;  
     h = fn z => z + 3  
in   (f g) + (f h)
```

$$\begin{aligned}(f g) + (f h) &\longrightarrow ((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x 1) g) + ((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x 1) h) \\&\longrightarrow (g 1) + (h 1) \\&\longrightarrow ((\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y + 2) 1) + (\text{fn } z \Rightarrow z + 3) 1) \\&\longrightarrow (1 + 2) + (1 + 3) \\&\longrightarrow 7\end{aligned}$$

## Evaluating Fun

$\rho \in \mathbf{Env}$	=	$\mathbf{Var} \mapsto \mathbf{Value}$	Environments
$v \in \mathbf{Value}$	=	$\mathbf{Constant} \cup \mathbf{Closure}$	Values
$\mathbf{Closure}$	::=	$[(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0), \rho]$	Closures

## Evaluating Fun

$\rho \in \mathbf{Env}$	=	$\mathbf{Var} \mapsto \mathbf{Value}$	Environments
$v \in \mathbf{Value}$	=	$\mathbf{Constant} \cup \mathbf{Closure}$	Values
$\mathbf{Closure}$	::=	$[(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0), \rho]$	Closures

$$\text{eval}(\rho, e) = v$$

iff “ $e$  evaluates to  $v$  in  $\rho$ ”

## Evaluating Fun

$\rho \in \mathbf{Env}$	=	$\mathbf{Var} \mapsto \mathbf{Value}$	Environments
$v \in \mathbf{Value}$	=	$\mathbf{Constant} \cup \mathbf{Closure}$	Values
$\mathbf{Closure}$	::=	$[(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0), \rho]$	Closures

$$\text{eval}(\rho, e) = v$$

iff “ $e$  evaluates to  $v$  in  $\rho$ ”

- ▶  $\text{eval}(\rho, e) = v$  can also be read as a specification for building an interpreter for the Fun language.
- ▶ We will use this specification just as a aid to help us understand the Control Flow Analysis.

## Environment Rules I [Provided in Exam]

$$\text{eval}(\rho, c^\ell) = c$$

## Environment Rules I [Provided in Exam]

$$\text{eval}(\rho, c^\ell) = c$$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, x^\ell) = \rho(x)$$

## Environment Rules I [Provided in Exam]

$$\text{eval}(\rho, c^\ell) = c$$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, x^\ell) = \rho(x)$$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, (t_1^{\ell_1} op t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell) = \text{eval}(\rho, t_1^{\ell_1}) op \text{eval}(\rho, t_2^{\ell_2})$$

## Environment Rules I [Provided in Exam]

$$\text{eval}(\rho, c^\ell) = c$$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, x^\ell) = \rho(x)$$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, (t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ op } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell) = \text{eval}(\rho, t_1^{\ell_1}) \text{ op } \text{eval}(\rho, t_2^{\ell_2})$$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, (\text{if } t_0^{\ell_0} \text{ then } t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ else } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell) = v$$

where  $v = \begin{cases} \text{eval}(\rho, t_1^{\ell_1}) & \text{for eval}(\rho, t_0^{\ell_0}) = \text{true} \\ \text{eval}(\rho, t_2^{\ell_2}) & \text{for eval}(\rho, t_0^{\ell_0}) = \text{false} \end{cases}$

## Environment Rules II [Provided in Exam]

$$\text{eval}(\rho, (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell) = [(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0), \rho] \quad \text{closure creation}$$

## Environment Rules II [Provided in Exam]

$$\text{eval}(\rho, (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell) = [(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0), \rho] \quad \text{closure creation}$$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, (\text{let } x = t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ in } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell) = \text{eval}(\rho[x \mapsto v_1], t_2^{\ell_2})$$

where  $v_1 = \text{eval}(\rho, t_1^{\ell_1})$

## Environment Rules II [Provided in Exam]

$$\text{eval}(\rho, (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell) = [(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0), \rho] \quad \text{closure creation}$$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, (\text{let } x = t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ in } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell) = \text{eval}(\rho[x \mapsto v_1], t_2^{\ell_2})$$

where  $v_1 = \text{eval}(\rho, t_1^{\ell_1})$

$$\text{eval}(\rho, (t_1^{\ell_1} \ t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell) = \text{eval}(\rho_0[x \mapsto v_2], e_0) \quad \text{function application}$$

where  $\text{eval}(\rho, t_1^{\ell_1}) = [(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0), \rho_0] \wedge$   
 $\text{eval}(\rho, t_2^{\ell_2}) = v_2$

## Control Flow Analysis (CFA)

As we allow variables/names to be bound/associated to/with **values** as well as **functions** (closures) any function application only makes sense in an environment  $\rho$  or context:

$\dots(f\ 3)\dots$

## Control Flow Analysis (CFA)

As we allow variables/names to be bound/associated to/with **values** as well as **functions** (closures) any function application only makes sense in an environment  $\rho$  or context:

$\dots (f\ 3)\dots$  or better  $\dots (f^{\ell_1}\ 3^{\ell_2})^{\ell_3}\dots$

## Control Flow Analysis (CFA)

As we allow variables/names to be bound/associated to/with **values** as well as **functions** (closures) any function application only makes sense in an environment  $\rho$  or context:

$\dots (f\ 3)\dots$  or better  $\dots (f^{\ell_1}\ 3^{\ell_2})^{\ell_3}\dots$

It might be that  $f \mapsto 3^{\ell'}$  (constant) or  $f \mapsto (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^{\ell'})^{\ell''}$  (identity) or  $f \mapsto (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow (x^{\ell'}\ x^{\ell''}))^{\ell'''}$  (doubling).

## Control Flow Analysis (CFA)

As we allow variables/names to be bound/associated to/with **values** as well as **functions** (closures) any function application only makes sense in an environment  $\rho$  or context:

$\dots (f\ 3)\dots$  or better  $\dots (f^{\ell_1}\ 3^{\ell_2})^{\ell_3}\dots$

It might be that  $f \mapsto 3^{\ell'}$  (constant) or  $f \mapsto (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^{\ell'})^{\ell''}$  (identity) or  $f \mapsto (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow (x^{\ell'}\ x^{\ell''}))^{\ell'''}$  (doubling).

In our imperative setting WHILE we might also allow variables to point to programs, e.g.  $\dots | [p := S]^{\ell} | p | \dots$

## Control Flow Analysis (CFA)

As we allow variables/names to be bound/associated to/with **values** as well as **functions** (closures) any function application only makes sense in an environment  $\rho$  or context:

$\dots (f\ 3)\dots$  or better  $\dots (f^{\ell_1}\ 3^{\ell_2})^{\ell_3}\dots$

It might be that  $f \mapsto 3^{\ell'}$  (constant) or  $f \mapsto (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^{\ell'})^{\ell''}$  (identity) or  $f \mapsto (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow (x^{\ell'}\ x^{\ell''}))^{\ell'''}$  (doubling).

In our imperative setting WHILE we might also allow variables to point to programs, e.g.  $\dots | [p := S]^{\ell} | p | \dots$  Then, e.g.

**if**  $b$  **then**  $[p := S_1]^1$  **else**  $[p := S_2]^2; p$

leads to the question whether  $(1, \text{init}(S_1))$  and/or  $(1, \text{init}(S_2))$  should be in the **control flow**.

# CFA and Functional Programs

Consider the following Fun program:

```
let  f = fn x => x 1;  
      g = fn y => y + 2;  
      h = fn z => z + 3  
in   (f g) + (f h)
```

# CFA and Functional Programs

Consider the following Fun program:

```
let  f = fn x => x 1;  
      g = fn y => y + 2;  
      h = fn z => z + 3  
in  (f g) + (f h)
```

The aim of **Control Flow Analysis** is:

*For each function application, which functions may be applied*

# Overview

- ▶ Control Flow Analysis

# Overview

- ▶ Control Flow Analysis
  - ▶ Abstract Domains and Specification
  - ▶ Constraint Generation
  - ▶ Constraint Solving Algorithm

# Overview

- ▶ Control Flow Analysis
  - ▶ Abstract Domains and Specification
  - ▶ Constraint Generation
  - ▶ Constraint Solving Algorithm
- ▶ Control and Data Flow Analysis

# Overview

- ▶ Control Flow Analysis
  - ▶ Abstract Domains and Specification
  - ▶ Constraint Generation
  - ▶ Constraint Solving Algorithm
- ▶ Control and Data Flow Analysis
- ▶ Context-Sensitive Analysis Concepts

## 0-CFA Analysis

We will define a **0-CFA Analysis**; the presentation requires two components:

- ▶ Abstract Domains
- ▶ Specification of the Analysis

## 0-CFA Analysis

We will define a **0-CFA Analysis**; the presentation requires two components:

- ▶ Abstract Domains
- ▶ Specification of the Analysis

The result of a 0-CFA analysis is a pair  $(\hat{C}, \hat{\rho})$  where:

- ▶  $\hat{C}$  is the **abstract cache** associating abstract values with each labelled program point.
- ▶  $\hat{\rho}$  is the **abstract environment** associating abstract values with each variable.

# Abstract Domains

An **abstract value**  $\hat{v}$  is a set of **terms** of the form:  $\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0$

# Abstract Domains

An **abstract value**  $\widehat{v}$  is a set of **terms** of the form:  $\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0$

$\widehat{\rho} \in \widehat{\mathbf{Env}}$  =  $\mathbf{Var} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}$  abstract environments

$\widehat{v} \in \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}$  =  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Term})$  abstract values

$\widehat{C} \in \widehat{\mathbf{Cache}}$  =  $\mathbf{Lab} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}$  abstract caches

# Abstract Domains

An **abstract value**  $\widehat{v}$  is a set of **terms** of the form:  $\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0$

$\widehat{\rho} \in \widehat{\mathbf{Env}}$  =  $\mathbf{Var} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}$  abstract environments

$\widehat{v} \in \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}$  =  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Term})$  abstract values

$\widehat{C} \in \widehat{\mathbf{Cache}}$  =  $\mathbf{Lab} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}$  abstract caches

Compare this with the **Concrete Domain** (see before):

$\rho \in \mathbf{Env}$  =  $\mathbf{Var} \rightarrow \mathbf{Val}$  environments

$v \in \mathbf{Val}$  =  $\mathbf{Z} \cup \mathbf{Closure}$  values

**Closure** ::=  $[\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0, \rho]$  closures

## Acceptable CFA

For the formulation of the **0-CFA** analysis we shall write

$$(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models e$$

for when  $(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho})$  is an acceptable Control Flow Analysis of the expression  $e$ . Thus the relation “ $\models$ ” has functionality

$$\models : (\widehat{\text{Cache}} \times \widehat{\text{Env}} \times \widehat{\text{Exp}}) \rightarrow \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$$

## Acceptable CFA

For the formulation of the **0-CFA** analysis we shall write

$$(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models e$$

for when  $(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho})$  is an acceptable Control Flow Analysis of the expression  $e$ . Thus the relation “ $\models$ ” has functionality

$$\models : (\widehat{\text{Cache}} \times \widehat{\text{Env}} \times \widehat{\text{Exp}}) \rightarrow \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$$

Our **Goal** therefore is:

If a sub-expression  $t^\ell$  evaluates to a function (closure),  
then the function must be “predicted” by  $\widehat{C}(\ell)$

# CFA: Example

$$((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1)^2 (\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3)^4)^5$$

	$(\hat{C}_e, \hat{\rho}_e)$	$(\hat{C}'_e, \hat{\rho}'_e)$	$(\hat{C}''_e, \hat{\rho}''_e)$
1	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
2	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\}$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\}$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
3	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
4	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
5	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
x	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\emptyset$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
y	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$

# CFA: Example

$$((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1)^2 (\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3)^4)^5$$

	$(\hat{C}_e, \hat{\rho}_e)$	$(\hat{C}'_e, \hat{\rho}'_e)$	$(\hat{C}''_e, \hat{\rho}''_e)$
1	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
2	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\}$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\}$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
3	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
4	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
5	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
x	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\emptyset$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
y	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
			$\checkmark$

# CFA: Example

$$((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1)^2 (\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3)^4)^5$$

	$(\hat{C}_e, \hat{\rho}_e)$	$(\hat{C}'_e, \hat{\rho}'_e)$	$(\hat{C}''_e, \hat{\rho}''_e)$
1	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
2	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\}$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\}$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
3	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
4	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
5	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
x	$\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$	$\emptyset$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
y	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\}$
	✓		✓

## Specification: Rules I

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s c^\ell \text{ always}$$

## Specification: Rules I

$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s c^\ell$  always

$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s x^\ell$  iff  $\widehat{\rho}(x) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell)$

# Specification: Rules I

$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s c^\ell$  always

$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s x^\ell$  iff  $\widehat{\rho}(x) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell)$

$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{if } t_0^{\ell_0} \text{ then } t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ else } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell$   
iff  $(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_0^{\ell_0} \wedge$   
 $(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge$   
 $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \wedge \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell)$

## Specification: Rules I

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s c^\ell \text{ always}$$

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s x^\ell \text{ iff } \widehat{\rho}(x) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{if } t_0^{\ell_0} \text{ then } t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ else } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ & \text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_0^{\ell_0} \wedge \\ & \quad (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ & \quad \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \wedge \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{let } x = t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ in } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ & \text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ & \quad \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \end{aligned}$$

## Specification: Rules II

$$(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (t_1^{\ell_1} \ op \ t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell$$

iff  $(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2}$

## Specification: Rules II

$$(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (t_1^{\ell_1} \ op \ t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell$$

iff  $(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2}$

$$(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell$$

iff  $\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0\} \subseteq \widehat{C}(\ell) \wedge (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s e_0$

## Specification: Rules II

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (t_1^{\ell_1} \ op \ t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2}$$

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell \\ \text{iff } \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0\} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s e_0$$

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (t_1^{\ell_1} \ t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ (\forall (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) : \\ \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \\ \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_0) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell))$$

## Constraint Generation

To implement the specification, we must generate a set of constraints from a given program.  $\mathcal{C}_\star[\![e_\star]\!]$  is a set of **constraints** and **conditional constraints** of the form

$$lhs \subseteq rhs$$

$$\{t\} \subseteq rhs' \Rightarrow lhs \subseteq rhs$$

where  $rhs$  is of the form  $C(\ell)$  or  $r(x)$ , and  $lhs$  is of the form  $C(\ell)$ ,  $r(x)$ , or  $\{t\}$ , and all occurrences of  $t$  are of the form  $\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0$ .

# Constraint-Based CFA I

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell$$

iff  $\{ \text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0 \} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s e_0$

# Constraint-Based CFA I

$$(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell$$

$$\text{iff } \{ \text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0 \} \subseteq \widehat{C}(\ell) \wedge (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s e_0$$

$$\mathcal{C}_*[(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell] = \{ \{ \text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0 \} \subseteq C(\ell) \} \cup \mathcal{C}_*[e_0]$$

# Constraint-Based CFA I

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell$$

$$\text{iff } \{ \text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0 \} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s e_0$$

$$\mathcal{C}_*[(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell] = \{ \{ \text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0 \} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell) \} \cup \mathcal{C}_*[e_0]$$

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \text{ iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ (\forall (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) : \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \\ \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_0) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell))$$

# Constraint-Based CFA I

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell$$

$$\text{iff } \{ \text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0 \} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s e_0$$

$$\mathcal{C}_*[(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell] = \{ \{ \text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0 \} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell) \} \cup \mathcal{C}_*[e_0]$$

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s (t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell \text{ iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_s t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \\ (\forall (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) : \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \\ \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_0) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell))$$

$$\mathcal{C}_*[(t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell]$$

$$= \mathcal{C}_*[t_1^{\ell_1}] \cup \mathcal{C}_*[t_2^{\ell_2}]$$

$$\cup \{ \{ t \} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell_1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}(\ell_2) \subseteq r(x) \mid t = (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \mathbf{Term}_* \}$$

$$\cup \{ \{ t \} \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell_1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{C}(\ell_0) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell) \mid t = (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \mathbf{Term}_* \}$$

## Constraint-Based CFA II

$$\mathcal{C}_\star[\![c^\ell]\!] = \emptyset$$

$$\mathcal{C}_\star[\![x^\ell]\!] = \{\mathbf{r}(x) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_\star[\!(\text{if } t_0^{\ell_0} \text{ then } t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ else } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell]\!] &= \mathcal{C}_\star[\![t_0^{\ell_0}]\!] \cup \mathcal{C}_\star[\![t_1^{\ell_1}]\!] \cup \mathcal{C}_\star[\![t_2^{\ell_2}]\!] \\ &\quad \cup \{\mathbf{C}(\ell_1) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell)\} \\ &\quad \cup \{\mathbf{C}(\ell_2) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell)\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_\star[\!(\text{let } x = t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ in } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell]\!] &= \mathcal{C}_\star[\![t_1^{\ell_1}]\!] \cup \mathcal{C}_\star[\![t_2^{\ell_2}]\!] \\ &\quad \cup \{\mathbf{C}(\ell_1) \subseteq \mathbf{r}(x)\} \cup \{\mathbf{C}(\ell_2) \subseteq \mathbf{C}(\ell)\}\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_\star[\!(t_1^{\ell_1} \ op \ t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell]\!] = \mathcal{C}_\star[\![t_1^{\ell_1}]\!] \cup \mathcal{C}_\star[\![t_2^{\ell_2}]\!]$$

## Constraint Generation: Example I

$$\mathcal{C}_\star[((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1)^2 (\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3)^4)^5] =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{C}_\star[(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1)^2] \cup \mathcal{C}_\star[(\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3)^4] \\ & \cup \{\{t\} \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(4) \subseteq r(x) \mid t = (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \mathbf{Term}_\star\} \\ & \cup \{\{t\} \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(\ell_0) \subseteq C(5) \mid t = (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \mathbf{Term}_\star\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_\star[(\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1)^2] =$$

$$\{\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\} \subseteq C(2)\} \cup \mathcal{C}_\star[x^1] =$$

$$\{\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\} \subseteq C(2)\} \cup \{r(x) \subseteq C(1)\} =$$

$$\{\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\} \subseteq C(2), r(x) \subseteq C(1)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_\star[(\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3)^4] = & \{\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\} \subseteq C(4)\} \cup \mathcal{C}_\star[y^3] = \\ & \{\{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\} \subseteq C(4), r(y) \subseteq C(3)\} \end{aligned}$$

## Constraint Generation: Example II

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\{t\} \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(4) \subseteq r(x) \mid t = (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \mathbf{Term}_*\} \\ &= \{ \quad \text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1 \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(4) \subseteq r(x), \\ & \quad \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3 \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(4) \subseteq r(y) \quad \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\{t\} \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(\ell_0) \subseteq C(5) \mid t = (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \mathbf{Term}_*\} \\ &= \{ \quad \text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1 \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(1) \subseteq C(5), \\ & \quad \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3 \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(3) \subseteq C(5) \quad \} \end{aligned}$$

## Constraint Generation: Example III

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_\star[((\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1)^2 (\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3)^4)^5] = \\ \{\{\text{ fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\} \subseteq C(2), \\ r(x) \subseteq C(1), \\ \{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\} \subseteq C(4), \\ r(y) \subseteq C(3), \\ \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\} \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(4) \subseteq r(x), \\ \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\} \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(1) \subseteq C(5), \\ \{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\} \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(4) \subseteq r(y), \\ \{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^3\} \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(3) \subseteq C(5)\}\end{aligned}$$

# Constraint Solving

To solve the constraints, we use a graph-based formulation.  
The algorithm uses the following main **data structures**:

- ▶ a **worklist**  $W$ , i.e. a list of nodes whose outgoing edges should be traversed;
- ▶ a **data array**  $D$  that for each node gives an element of  $\widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_*$ ; and
- ▶ an **edge array**  $E$  that for each node gives a list of constraints from which a list of the successor nodes can be computed.

## Constraints Graph

The graph will have nodes  $C(\ell)$  and  $r(x)$  for  $\ell \in \mathbf{Lab}_*$  and  $x \in \mathbf{Var}_*$ . Associated with each node  $p$  we have a data field  $D[p]$  that initially is given by:

$$D[p] = \{t \mid (\{t\} \subseteq p) \in \mathcal{C}_*[\![e_*]\!]\}$$

The graph will have edges for a subset of the constraints in  $\mathcal{C}_*[\![e_*]\!]$ ; each edge will be decorated with the constraint that gives rise to it:

- ▶ a constraint  $p_1 \subseteq p_2$  gives rise to an edge from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$ , and
- ▶ a constraint  $\{t\} \subseteq p \Rightarrow p_1 \subseteq p_2$  gives rise to an edge from  $p_1$  to  $p_2$  and an edge from  $p$  to  $p_2$ .

# Algorithm I

INPUT:  $\mathcal{C}_\star[\mathbf{e}_\star]$

OUTPUT:  $(\hat{\mathbf{C}}, \hat{\rho})$

METHOD: Step 1: Initialisation

$W := \text{nil};$

for  $q$  in Nodes do  $D[q] := \emptyset;$

for  $q$  in Nodes do  $E[q] := \text{nil};$

## Algorithm II

### Step 2: Building the graph

```
for cc in  $\mathcal{C}_\star[\![e_\star]\!]$  do
    case cc of
         $\{t\} \subseteq p$ : add( $p, \{t\}$ );
         $p_1 \subseteq p_2$ :  $E[p_1] := \text{cons}(cc, E[p_1])$ ;
         $\{t\} \subseteq p \Rightarrow p_1 \subseteq p_2$ :
             $E[p_1] := \text{cons}(cc, E[p_1])$ ;
             $E[p] := \text{cons}(cc, E[p])$ ;
```

## Algorithm III

### Step 3: Iteration

```
while W ≠ nil do
    q := head(W); W := tail(W);
    for cc in E[q] do
        case cc of
             $p_1 \subseteq p_2$ : add( $p_2$ , D[ $p_1$ ]);
             $\{t\} \subseteq p \Rightarrow p_1 \subseteq p_2$ :
                if  $t \in D[p]$  then add( $p_2$ , D[ $p_1$ ]);
```

## Algorithm IV

### Step 4: Recording the solution

```
for  $\ell$  in Lab $_{\star}$  do  $\widehat{C}(\ell) := D[C(\ell)]$ ;  
for  $x$  in Var $_{\star}$  do  $\widehat{\rho}(x) := D[r(x)]$ ;
```

USING: procedure add( $q, d$ ) is  
    if  $\neg (d \subseteq D[q])$   
    then  $D[q] := D[q] \cup d$ ;  
         $W := \text{cons}(q, W)$ ;

## Example I

$p$	$D[p]$	$E[p]$
$C(1)$	$\emptyset$	$[id_x \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(1) \subseteq C(5)]$
$C(2)$	$id_x$	$[id_y \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(3) \subseteq C(5), id_y \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(4) \subseteq r(y), id_x \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(1) \subseteq C(5), id_x \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(4) \subseteq r(x)]$
$C(3)$	$\emptyset$	$[id_y \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(3) \subseteq C(5)]$
$C(4)$	$id_y$	$[id_y \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(4) \subseteq r(y), id_x \subseteq C(2) \Rightarrow C(4) \subseteq r(x)]$
$C(5)$	$\emptyset$	$[ ]$
$r(x)$	$\emptyset$	$[r(x) \subseteq C(1)]$
$r(y)$	$\emptyset$	$[r(y) \subseteq C(3)]$

## Example II

W	[C(4),C(2)]	[r(x),C(2)]	[C(1),C(2)]	[C(5),C(2)]	[C(2)]	[ ]
C(1)	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\text{id}_y$	$\text{id}_y$	$\text{id}_y$	$\text{id}_y$
C(2)	$\text{id}_x$	$\text{id}_x$	$\text{id}_x$	$\text{id}_x$	$\text{id}_x$	$\text{id}_x$
C(3)	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
C(4)	$\text{id}_y$	$\text{id}_y$	$\text{id}_y$	$\text{id}_y$	$\text{id}_y$	$\text{id}_y$
C(5)	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\text{id}_y$	$\text{id}_y$	$\text{id}_y$
r(x)	$\emptyset$	$\text{id}_y$	$\text{id}_y$	$\text{id}_y$	$\text{id}_y$	$\text{id}_y$
r(y)	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$

## Control Flow + Data Flow

Let **Data** be a set of *abstract data values* (i.e. abstract properties of booleans and arithmetic constants)

$$\widehat{v} \in \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Term} \cup \mathbf{Data}) \quad \text{abstract values}$$

## Control Flow + Data Flow

Let **Data** be a set of *abstract data values* (i.e. abstract properties of booleans and arithmetic constants)

$$\hat{v} \in \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Term} \cup \mathbf{Data}) \quad \text{abstract values}$$

For each constant  $c \in \mathbf{Const}$  we need an element  $d_c \in \mathbf{Data}$   
Similarly, for each operator  $op \in \mathbf{Op}$  we need a total function

$$\widehat{\text{op}} : \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d \times \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d$$

## Control Flow + Data Flow

Let **Data** be a set of *abstract data values* (i.e. abstract properties of booleans and arithmetic constants)

$$\hat{v} \in \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Term} \cup \mathbf{Data}) \text{ abstract values}$$

For each constant  $c \in \mathbf{Const}$  we need an element  $d_c \in \mathbf{Data}$   
Similarly, for each operator  $op \in \mathbf{Op}$  we need a total function

$$\widehat{op} : \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d \times \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{Val}}_d$$

Typically,  $\widehat{op}$  will have a definition of the form:

$$\widehat{v}_1 \widehat{op} \widehat{v}_2 = \bigcup \{ d_{op}(d_1, d_2) \mid d_1 \in \widehat{v}_1 \cap \mathbf{Data}, d_2 \in \widehat{v}_2 \cap \mathbf{Data} \}$$

for some function  $d_{op} : \mathbf{Data} \times \mathbf{Data} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{Data})$

## Detection of Sign

**Data<sub>sign</sub>** = {tt, ff, -, 0, +}

$$d_{\text{true}} = \text{tt} \quad d_7 = +$$

# Detection of Sign

$$\mathbf{Data}_{\text{sign}} = \{\text{tt}, \text{ff}, -, 0, +\}$$

$$d_{\text{true}} = \text{tt} \quad d_7 = +$$

$\widehat{+}$  is defined from:

$d_+$	tt	ff	-	0	+
tt	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
ff	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$
-	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{-\}$	$\{-\}$	$\{-, 0, +\}$
0	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{-\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{+\}$
+	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$	$\{-, 0, +\}$	$\{+\}$	$\{+\}$

# Abstract Values I

$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell$  iff  $\{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0\} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d e_0$

$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d (t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell$

iff  $(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge$

$(\forall (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) :$

$\widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_0) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell))$

# Abstract Values I

$$(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0)^\ell \text{ iff } \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow e_0\} \subseteq \widehat{C}(\ell) \wedge (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d e_0$$

$$(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d (t_1^{\ell_1} t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell$$

$$\text{iff } (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge$$

$$(\forall (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow t_0^{\ell_0}) \in \widehat{C}(\ell_1) :$$

$$\widehat{C}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \widehat{C}(\ell_0) \subseteq \widehat{C}(\ell))$$

$$(\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d (\text{if } t_0^{\ell_0} \text{ then } t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ else } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell$$

$$\text{iff } (\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_0^{\ell_0} \wedge$$

$$(d_{\text{true}} \in \widehat{C}(\ell_0) \Rightarrow ((\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge \widehat{C}(\ell_1) \subseteq \widehat{C}(\ell))) \wedge$$

$$(d_{\text{false}} \in \widehat{C}(\ell_0) \Rightarrow ((\widehat{C}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge \widehat{C}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{C}(\ell)))$$

## Abstract Values II

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d c^\ell \text{ iff } \{d_c\} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell)$$

## Abstract Values II

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d c^\ell \text{ iff } \{d_c\} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell)$$

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d x^\ell \text{ iff } \widehat{\rho}(x) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d ( \text{let } x = t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ in } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell & \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge & \\ \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) & \end{aligned}$$

# Abstract Values II

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d c^\ell \text{ iff } \{d_c\} \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell)$$

$$(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d x^\ell \text{ iff } \widehat{\rho}(x) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d ( \text{let } x = t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ in } t_2^{\ell_2} )^\ell & \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge & \\ \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) \subseteq \widehat{\rho}(x) \wedge \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) & \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d (t_1^{\ell_1} \text{ op } t_2^{\ell_2})^\ell & \\ \text{iff } (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_1^{\ell_1} \wedge (\widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\rho}) \models_d t_2^{\ell_2} \wedge & \\ \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_1) \widehat{\text{op}} \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell_2) \subseteq \widehat{\mathbf{C}}(\ell) & \end{aligned}$$

## Example: Sign Detection

```
let f = (fn x => (if (x1 > 02)3 then (fn y => y4)5
                           else (fn z => 256)7)8)9)
in ((f10 311)12 013)14)15
```

## Example: Sign Detection

```
let f = (fn x => (if (x1 > 02)3 then (fn y => y4)5
                           else (fn z => 256)7)8)9
in ((f10 311)12 013)14)15
```

C(1)	$\emptyset$
C(2)	$\emptyset$
C(3)	$\emptyset$
C(4)	$\emptyset$
C(5)	$\text{id}_y$
C(6)	$\emptyset$
C(7)	$c_{25}$

C(8)	$\{\text{id}_y, c_{25}\}$
C(9)	$\{\text{fn } x \dots\}^8$
C(10)	$\{\text{fn } x \dots\}^8$
C(11)	$\emptyset$
C(12)	$\{\text{id}_y, c_{25}\}$
C(13)	$\emptyset$

C(14)	$\emptyset$
C(15)	$\emptyset$
r(f)	$\{\text{fn } x \dots\}^8$
r(x)	$\emptyset$
r(y)	$\emptyset$
r(z)	$\emptyset$

## Example: Sign Detection

let  $f = (\text{fn } x \Rightarrow (\text{if } (x^1 > 0^2)^3 \text{ then } (\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^4)^5 \\ \text{else } (\text{fn } z \Rightarrow 25^6)^7)^8)^9$   
in  $((f^{10} 3^{11})^{12} 0^{13})^{14})^{15}$

C(1)	{+}
C(2)	{0}
C(3)	{tt}
C(4)	{0}
C(5)	$\text{id}_y$
C(6)	$\emptyset$
C(7)	$c_{25}$

C(8)	{ $\text{id}_y$ }
C(9)	{ $\text{fn } x \dots)^8$ }
C(10)	{ $\text{fn } x \dots)^8$ }
C(11)	{+}
C(12)	{ $\text{id}_y$ }
C(13)	{0}

C(14)	{0}
C(15)	{0}
r(f)	{ $\text{fn } x \dots)^8$ }
r(x)	{+}
r(y)	{0}
r(z)	$\emptyset$

## Example: Sign Detection

```
let  f = (fn x =>  (if (x1 > 02)3 then (fn y => y4)5
                           else (fn z => 256)7)8)9)
in ((f10 311)12 013)14)15
```

A pure 0-CFA analysis will not be able to discover that the else-branch of the conditional will never be executed.

## Example: Sign Detection

```
let  f = (fn x =>  (if (x1 > 02)3 then (fn y => y4)5
                           else (fn z => 256)7)8)9)
in ((f10 311)12 013)14)15
```

A pure 0-CFA analysis will not be able to discover that the else-branch of the conditional will never be executed.

When we combine the analysis with a Detection of Signs Analysis then the analysis can determine that only  $\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^4$  is a possible abstraction at label 12.

## Context-Sensitive CFA

The Control Flow Analyses presented so far are imprecise in that they cannot distinguish the various instances of function calls from one another. In the terminology of Data Flow Analysis the 0-CFA analysis is **context-insensitive** and in the terminology of Control Flow Analysis it is **monovariant**.

## Context-Sensitive CFA

The Control Flow Analyses presented so far are imprecise in that they cannot distinguish the various instances of function calls from one another. In the terminology of Data Flow Analysis the 0-CFA analysis is **context-insensitive** and in the terminology of Control Flow Analysis it is **monovariant**.

To get a more precise analysis it is useful to introduce a mechanism that distinguishes different dynamic instances of variables and labels from one another. This results in a **context-sensitive** analysis and in the terminology of Control Flow Analysis the term **polyvariant** is used.

## Example: Context

Consider the expression:

```
(let f = (fn x => x1)2
  in ((f3 f4)5 (fn y => y6)7)8)9
```

The least 0-CFA analysis is given by  $(\hat{C}_{id}, \hat{\rho}_{id})$ :

# 0-CFA Solutions

$$\widehat{C}_{id}(1) = \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^6\}$$

$$\widehat{C}_{id}(3) = \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\}$$

$$\widehat{C}_{id}(5) = \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^6\}$$

$$\widehat{C}_{id}(7) = \{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^6\}$$

$$\widehat{C}_{id}(8) = \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^6\}$$

$$\widehat{C}_{id}(9) = \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^6\}$$

$$\widehat{\rho}_{id}(f) = \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\}$$

$$\widehat{\rho}_{id}(x) = \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1, \text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^6\}$$

$$\widehat{\rho}_{id}(y) = \{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^6\}$$

$$\widehat{C}_{id}(2) = \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\}$$

$$\widehat{C}_{id}(4) = \{\text{fn } x \Rightarrow x^1\}$$

$$\widehat{C}_{id}(6) = \{\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y^6\}$$

# Expansion

Expand the program into

```
let  $f_1 = (\text{fn } x_1 \Rightarrow x_1)$ 
in let  $f_2 = (\text{fn } x_2 \Rightarrow x_2)$ 
    in  $(f_1 f_2) (\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y)$ 
```

and then analyse the expanded expression: the 0-CFA analysis is now able to deduce that  $x_1$  can only be bound to  $\text{fn } x_2 \Rightarrow x_2$  and that  $x_2$  can only be bound to  $\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y$  so the overall expression will evaluate to  $\text{fn } y \Rightarrow y$  only.

## Further CFA Analyses

A more satisfactory solution to the problem is to extend the analysis with [context information](#) allowing it to distinguish between the various instances of variables and program points and still analyse the original expression.

Examples of such analyses include  $k$ -CFA analyses, uniform  $k$ -CFA analyses, polynomial  $k$ -CFA analyses (mainly of interest for  $k > 0$ ) and the Cartesian Product Algorithm.