Algorithms for Optimal Decisions Tutorial 6 Answers

Exercise 1 Solve the following problem by using the active set method and taking $x^{(0)} = (x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}, x_3^{(0)}) = (0, 0, 1)$ as a starting point

$$\min_{x} f(x) = x_{1}^{2} + 2x_{2}^{2} + 3x_{3}^{2}$$
s.t. $x_{1} + x_{2} + x_{3} - 1 \ge 0$ (1)
 $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \ge 0.$

Solution : First, we rewrite the problem, so we have constraints which are less or equal to zero:

$$\min_{x} f(x) = x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 + 3x_3^2
s.t. \quad 1 - x_1 - x_2 - x_3 \le 0
- x_1, -x_2, -x_3 \le 0.$$
(2)

- The starting point $x^{(0)}$ is feasible, since $g_i(x^{(0)}) \le 0$, i = 1, 2, 3, 4.
- Set k = 0, where k is the iteration counter. The set of active constraints at the point $x^{(0)}$ is $J_0 = \{1, 2, 3\}$.
- The direction of movement $d_0 = x x^{(0)} = x$ will be found by solving the following equality constrained problem:

$$\min_{x} f(x) = x_{1}^{2} + 2x_{2}^{2} + 3x_{3}^{2}$$
s.t. $g_{1}(x) = 1 - x_{1} - x_{2} - x_{3} = 0$ (3)
 $g_{2}(x) = -x_{1} = 0$
 $g_{3}(x) = -x_{2} = 0$

- It follows from (3) that $d_0 = 0$.
- Since $d_0 = 0$ we need to compute multipliers $\mu^{(1)} = (\mu_1^{(1)}, \mu_2^{(1)}, \mu_3^{(1)})$ for problem (3).
- The Lagrangian of (3) is:

$$L(x,\mu^{(1)}) = x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 + 3x_3^2 + \mu_1^{(1)}(1 - x_1 - x_2 - x_3) + \mu_2^{(1)}(-x_1) + \mu_3^{(1)}(-x_2).$$
(4)

• The optimality conditions for (3) are:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = 2x_1 - \mu_1^{(1)} - \mu_2^{(1)} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 4x_2 - \mu_1^{(1)} - \mu_3^{(1)} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_3} = 6x_3 - \mu_1^{(1)} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu_1^{(1)}} = 1 - x_1 - x_2 - x_3 = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu_2^{(1)}} = -x_1 = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu_3^{(1)}} = -x_2 = 0$$

Solution to the above system is $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \mu_1^{(1)}, \mu_2^{(1)}, \mu_3^{(1)}) = (0, 0, 1, 6, -6, -6).$

- Only one of the Lagrange multipliers are negative $\mu_2^{(1)}$.
- From step 3 of the algorithm (see your notes) we can drop the constraint $g_2(x) = -x_1 \leq 0$ from the active set J_0 . Thus the new active set is $J_1 = \{1, 3\}$.
- Now we need to solve the following equality constrained quadratic problem:

$$\min_{x} f(x) = x_{1}^{2} + 2x_{2}^{2} + 3x_{3}^{2}$$
s.t. $g_{1}(x) = 1 - x_{1} - x_{2} - x_{3} = 0$ (5)
 $g_{3}(x) = -x_{2} = 0.$

• The Lagrangian of (5) is:

$$L(x,\mu^{(2)}) = x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 + 3x_3^2 + \mu_1^{(2)}(1-x_1-x_2-x_3) + \mu_2^{(2)}(-x_2).$$
 (6)

• The optimality conditions for (5) are:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = 2x_1 - \mu_1^{(2)} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 4x_2 - \mu_1^{(2)} - \mu_2^{(2)} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_3} = 6x_3 - \mu_1^{(2)} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu_1^{(2)}} = 1 - x_1 - x_2 - x_3 = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu_2^{(2)}} = -x_2 = 0.$$
(7)

Solution to the above system is $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \mu_1^{(2)}, \mu_1^{(2)}) = (\frac{3}{4}, 0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}).$

- One of the Lagrange multipliers of problem (5) is negative, so constraint $g_3(x)$ is dropped.
- The direction d_1 is then the vector from point $x^{(1)} = (\frac{3}{4}, 0, \frac{1}{4})$ to the solution of the following constrained quadratic problem:

$$\min_{x} f(x) = x_{1}^{2} + 2x_{2}^{2} + 3x_{3}^{2}$$
s.t. $1 - x_{1} - x_{2} - x_{3} = 0.$ (8)

• Optimality conditions of (8):

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = 2x_1 - \mu_1^{(3)} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 4x_2 - \mu_1^{(3)} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_3} = 6x_3 - \mu_1^{(3)} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu_1^{(2)}} = 1 - x_1 - x_2 - x_3 = 0.$$
(9)

- The point $(x_1^*, x_2^*, x_3^*, \mu_1^{(1)}) = (\frac{6}{11}, \frac{3}{11}, \frac{2}{11}, \frac{12}{11}).$
- New point is feasible, so we can take that point as a new point. That means that $\tau = 1$. Also the Lagrange multiplier is positive, so point $x^* = (\frac{6}{11}, \frac{3}{11}, \frac{2}{11})$ is the solution to our problem.

Exercise 2 Solve the following problem using the interior point method:

$$\min_{x} f(x) = x_{1} + x_{2}
s.t. g_{1}(x) = -x_{1}^{2} + x_{2} \ge 0
g_{2}(x) = x_{1} \ge 0.$$
(10)

Solution: We shall use the logarithmic barrier function to solve the problem (10). Thus problem (10) is approximated by a sequence of unconstrained problems:

$$\min_{x} f(x) - \eta_k \sum_{i=1}^{2} \log(g_i(x)), \tag{11}$$

where the values of the parameter η_k decrease and approach zero. We are going to solve a number of problems (11) for a decreasing sequence of values of the barrier parameter η_k , such that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\eta_k=0.$$

First, we find the optimality conditions of the unconstrained problem (11) where the value of the barrier parameter is fixed:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}(x_1 + x_2 - \eta_k(\log(-x_1^2 + x_2) + \log(x_1))) = 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}(x_1 + x_2 - \eta_k(\log(-x_1^2 + x_2) + \log(x_1))) = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad (12)$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} 1 - \eta_k \frac{1}{-x_1^2 + x_2} \cdot (-2x_1) - \frac{\eta_k}{x_1} = 0\\ 1 - \eta_k (\frac{1}{-x_1^2 + x_2}) = 0 \end{array}$$
(13)

Solving (13) we have:

$$\frac{\eta_k}{-x_1^2 + x_2} = -1,\tag{14}$$

and

$$1 - (-2x_1) - \frac{\eta_k}{x_1} = 0 \Rightarrow 1 + 2x_1 - \frac{\eta_k}{x_1} = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$2x_1^2 + x_1 - \eta_k = 0.$$
 (15)

The solution of (15) is given by the formula:

$$x_1 = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 8\eta_k}}{4}.$$
 (16)

Since x_1 must be positive, only the root

$$x_1 = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 8\eta_k}}{4} \tag{17}$$

is of interest. Substituting (17) into (14) yields:

$$\frac{-\eta_k}{-\left(\frac{-1+\sqrt{1+8\eta_k}}{4}\right)^2 + x_2} = -1 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad x_2 = \frac{(-1+\sqrt{1+8\eta_k})^2}{16} + \eta_k. \tag{18}$$

Formulae (17) and (18) give the optimum of the unconstrained problem (10) where the value of the barrier parameter η_k is fixed. For example, if $\eta_k = 1$ then the point

$$(x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}) = \left(\frac{-1 + \sqrt{1+8}}{4}, \frac{(-1 + \sqrt{1+8})^2}{16} + 1\right) = (0.5, 1.25)$$
 (19)

is the optimum solution of the following unconstrained problem:

$$\min_{x} f(x) - 1 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{2} \log(g_i(x)).$$
(20)

Now, if η_k is fixed to a smaller value, say $\eta_k = \frac{1}{2}$ then the point

$$(x_1^{(2)}, x_2^{(2)}) = \left(\frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + 8\frac{1}{2}}}{4}, \frac{(-1 + \sqrt{1 + 8\frac{1}{2}})^2}{16} + 1\right) = (0.309, 0.595) \quad (21)$$

is the optimum solution of the following unconstrained problem:

$$\min_{x} f(x) - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{2} \log(g_i(x)).$$
(22)

The following table shows the computed value of the points $(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)})$ for different values of η_k .

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
3 $\eta_2 = \frac{2}{4}$ 0.183 0.2	5
3 $\eta_2 = \frac{2}{4}$ 0.183 0.2	95
4 $\eta_2 = \frac{1}{10}$ 0.085 0.1	83
	07
$\downarrow \qquad \downarrow \qquad \downarrow$	
0 0 0	

In the limit (i.e. $\lim_{k\to\infty} \eta_k = 0$) the minimizing points $(x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)})$ approach the solution $(x_1^*, x_2^*) = (0, 0)$ of the original constrained problem (10).

In this problem there is only one unconstrained local minimum for each value of η_k . The problem happens to have the unique solution. It turns out that in problems with many local optima there is a sequence of local unconstrained minima converging to each set of constrained local minima. This is illustrated in the next example.